

STATE OF INDIANA



INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764

<http://www.state.in.us/iurc/>
Office: (317) 232-2701
Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

FILED

JUN 29 2001

INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF INDIANA)
BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, INCORPORATED,)
D/B/A AMERITECH INDIANA PURSUANT TO)
I.C. 8-1-2-61 FOR A THREE-PHASE PROCESS)
FOR COMMISSION REVIEW OF VARIOUS)
SUBMISSIONS OF AMERITECH INDIANA TO)
SHOW COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 271(C) OF)
THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996)

CAUSE NO. 41657

You are hereby notified that on this date, the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission has caused the following entry to be made:

On March 19, 2001, the Commission issued an Order in this Cause on various matters. One of the matters set forth in the Order was an agreed-upon procedure for informal dispute resolution. Paragraphs 10 and 11 of the process state as follows:

(Days 12 – 14) Any party may seek review of the decision of the administrative law judge by submitting a notice to the Commission within three business days of the decision. The party seeking to commence the review will also send its notice to the Indiana Ameritech271 distribution list on the same day on which the notice is formally submitted to the Commission.

(Days 12 – 16) The administrative law judge will forward a complete copy of the record on which she/he relied in reaching her decision to the Commission within three business days of receiving the notice requesting review. The presiding ALJ will notify the Ameritech271 distribution list of her actions on the same calendar day she/he provides the record to the Commission.

A question arose during the EDR-1 process regarding whether the process outlined above contemplates the party requesting review of the ALJ's decision filing a brief. The presiding judge requested comments from the parties. The comments that were submitted all support the proposition that the process does not contemplate such filing. Therefore, from this point forward, the party seeking review is limited to submitting its notice requesting such review. Such request should be no more than one page.

We do note, however, pursuant to paragraph 13 of the process, the parties may request for alternative procedures, or the Commission may request additional filings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Camie J. Swanson-Hull
Camie J. Swanson-Hull, Commissioner

Abby R. Gray
Abby R. Gray, Administrative Law Judge

Date: *June 29, 2001*

Joseph Sutherland
Joseph Sutherland, Secretary to the Commission